**Research Grants: “The macroeconomic impacts of cash transfers”**

|  |
| --- |
| *Please be sure to include the following headings in your research proposal. Please do not change the format of the template. Proposals that do not follow this format or fail to include all sections may be rejected before evaluation. Where specific details are required, guidance has been provided. However, your application should not be* *limited to these points. The total length of sections 1 through 5 should not exceed four pages with a minimum font size of 11.* |

|  |
| --- |
| Project Title: |
|  |
| Principal Investigator & Co-Investigators: |
|  |
| Contact Details of the Principal Investigator (Address/Telephone Number/Email): |
|  |
| Co-Funder(s) (if applicable): |
|  |

1. **INTRODUCTION**

|  |
| --- |
| *Introduction of the research question and its importance to understand the macroeconomic impact of cash transfers. Successful applications will have a clearly defined research question that can be answered using GiveDirect’s cash grant intervention in Malawi and Rwanda.* *Successful applications will contain references to recent work on the question of interest and clearly explain how the proposed research will link to previous work and how it might inform both the data collection and the actual intervention by GiveDirect.**Is the project feasible, and how can you (and we) be sure of that? Where initial work has been performed, please report the results of that work.**Please do not exceed 1 or 2 paragraphs for this sub-section.* |

1. **OBJECTIVES**

|  |
| --- |
| *A key objective of this program is to provide guidance to policy-makers about what the likely impacts of scaled-up unconditional cash transfers are and how these should be implemented to increase their impact on poverty reduction, employment, and overall development.* *Successful applications should therefore describe how the proposed project helps to draw portable lessons on the macroeconomic impact of such transfers and outline how it creates opportunities for a closer collaboration between experimental micro-approach and structural macro-approaches. Such collaboration could, for example, relate to measurement (“what should be measured?”), model testing (“should structural models be pre-specified”), or the quantification of aggregate impacts.* |

1. **METHODOLOGY**

|  |
| --- |
| *Please describe the methodology you will use to address the research question in greater detail here. Methodologies should be rigorous and appropriate for answering the question to be asked. In particular, they should specify how the intervention will be incorporated in your research and how your research question might inform the type of data that should be collected or how the intervention should be implemented.**The proposal does not need to include a fully specified model or detailed mathematical structure of the analysis, but it should communicate the key elements of the proposed methods and how they are going to be implemented. These key elements depend on the methods proposed. For example, in a quantitative modelling project, a submission might indicate key model mechanisms, lay out the basic model ingredients, discuss the ways in which the structural parameters of model will be identified, and highlight potential counterfactuals or policy experiments. Projects that will rely more on empirical analysis should describe the data, discuss measurement issues, and lay out a clear strategy of causal identification, given the cash grant experiment.*  |

1. **DETAILED WORKPLAN**

|  |
| --- |
| *Please provide a detailed workplan (including a Gantt chart or similar and a list/table of milestones) for the project, showing expected activities, with their length and sequencing; this workplan should illustrate the feasibility of completing the project within the allotted time. This should also show the time commitment of each investigator (in number of days).* |

1. **EXPECTED OUTPUTS**

|  |
| --- |
| *Please indicate the outputs (e.g. academic papers, events, policy briefs, data sets) you expect to produce and a timeline for making these outputs available to other researchers.* |

1. **BUDGET NARRATIVE**

|  |
| --- |
| *What is the total budget requested and to what is it being directed? Explain how the budget will be utilised and give a detailed description and justification.* |

1. **OTHER FUNDING**

|  |
| --- |
| *Please list other funding related to the proposed project that you have either received or for which you have applied.* |
| ***Funder*** | ***Amount*** | ***Dates*** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| *Please list other current or pending grants on which you are the Principal Investigator or one of the Co-Investigators.* |
| ***Funder*** | ***Amount*** | ***Dates*** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

1. **ETHICS**

|  |
| --- |
| *Before submitting your proposal, please ensure that you have read the Code of Conduct for Researchers on the* [STEG website](https://steg.cepr.org/node/139/about-srg-applications)*.* |
| *Please confirm that the Principal Investigator and the research team will comply with the Code of Conduct for Researchers.* | *Yes/No* |
| *Before submitting your proposal, please check whether you will require human subjects approval from an Institutional Review Board (or equivalent ethics review body). If required, you will need to obtain human subjects approval through your university and provide proof of approval to CEPR before any funds can be dispersed.* |
| *Please indicate if your project requires human subjects approval.* | *Yes/No* |
| *Have you already obtained human subjects approval?*  | *Yes/No* |
| *If you have obtained approval, please append evidence of the approval to this proposal. If you have not yet obtained approval but will need to, please state when you expect to apply for approval and when you expect to hear from the review board.* |

1. **PRIVACY**

|  |
| --- |
| *If your proposed research involves the processing of personal data, please ensure that you are familiar with the provisions of the General Data Protection Regulation and the UK Data Protection Act. You can review the UK Government* [Information Commissioner’s Office’s guidance](https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/guide-to-data-protection/) *on UK GDPR and DPA 2018. You may also wish to consult the* [University of Edinburgh’s guide](https://www.ed.ac.uk/data-protection/data-protection-guidance/specialised-guidance/research-data-protection) *to the Act’s implications for researchers.* |
| *Does the proposed research involve processing of genetic information or personal data (e.g. health, sexual lifestyle, ethnicity, political opinions, religious or philosophical convictions)?* | *Yes/No* |
| *Does the proposed research involve tracking the location or observation of people?* | *Yes/No* |
| *Does the proposed research involve processing any other “personal data” as defined in the Data Protection Act, i.e. data that could be used to identify individuals?* | *Yes/No* |
| *Where the answer to any of the above questions is yes, please indicate below how you will ensure compliance with the Data Protection Act. This may include anonymisation of published datasets, securing consent from the individuals concerned etc. You may also set out any exemptions you believe apply.* |

1. **INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS**

|  |
| --- |
| *All intellectual property rights in all material (including but not limited to reports, data, designs whether or not electronically stored) produced by researchers as part of the research funded by STEG shall be the property of the researcher. In order to ensure the proper dissemination and policy uptake of the research, the researchers shall, as part of their contract with CEPR, grant to CEPR and FCDO a worldwide, non-exclusive, irrevocable, royalty free licence to use all the Material. “Use” shall mean, without limitation, the reproduction, publication and sub-licence of all the Material and the intellectual property rights therein.* |
| *Please confirm that you agree with the IPR policy stated above.* | *Yes/No* |

1. **OPEN AND ENHANCED ACCESS TO RESEARCH OUTPUTS**

|  |
| --- |
| *FCDO’s (formerly DFID’s)* [*Open and Enhanced Access Policy*](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/dfid-research-open-and-enhanced-access-policy) *covers the whole range of outputs produced by the research it funds. Judgement does need to be exercised over the materials that fall within the policy, but the guiding principle is that the outputs made available will be of value and use to others, erring on the side of inclusion over exclusion. Further information on the policy can be found through the link.**For peer-reviewed journal articles, STEG researchers must pursue either “gold” or “green” open access. STEG has funds available to support open-access publication. Researchers are also requested to deposit datasets in a suitable subject or institutional repository such as those listed in the Directory of Open Access Repositories. Where no suitable repository is available, simple datasets may be deposited with R4D. In all cases, metadata for datasets should be deposited in R4D (via CEPR). Applicants should detail any difficulties they will have in complying with the open access policy.* |
| *Please confirm that the Principal Investigator and the research team will comply with the provisions of FCDO’s Open and Enhanced Access Policy* | *Yes/No* |
| *Please describe your plans for making the data you collect available to other researchers.* |

1. **LIST OF REFERENCES CITED**

|  |
| --- |
| *Please list a bibliography of the references you have cited in your proposal.* |

1. **APPENDIX**

|  |
| --- |
| *Please append any supporting documents to this proposal. Supporting documents include, but are not limited to, a letter of support from a supervisor (for current doctoral students) and the supervisor’s CV, ethics approval certificates, evidence of other sources of funding, and agreements with collaborating institutions.* |